

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/603,217	EDWARDS, ERIC E.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Now allowed

 (1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Applicant's Rep Kim Kanzaki (Reg. No. 37,652).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 November 2005
**Time:** 3:05 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*previously cited reference with respect to the feedback transistor of the amended claim*


Claims discussed:

2-4, 6, and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

*Fig. 7 of US 2004/0036514 A1(i.e. reference E on the 07272004 PTO-892)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The applicant's representative agreed to claim changes, thus overcoming the various concerns identified by the examiner. Details are in the Examiner's Amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)